

Diana Stuart <u>Environmental Sociology</u>

Chapter 2:

The Social Dimensions of Environmental Impacts

2

4

6

Stuart explains that environmental problems/impacts are socially constructed.

What does this mean?

How the problem is perceived, framed, and understood.

What about science? Is science socially constructed and if yes how?

3

<u>Scientists decide what</u> they want to investigate, the <u>methods</u> to be used, <u>interpretations</u> of the findings, and conclusions to be reached. Examples?

<u>Funding sources and vested</u> <u>interests</u> also influence what is studied and how the findings are presented if at all.

Results from environmental studies can have <u>multiple interpretations</u>.

4

2

Does this mean we should be skeptical of scientific findings? If yes, how should we "approach" scientific findings?

5

3

YES

Consider the <u>motivation</u> of the scientist, <u>who paid</u> for the research done and <u>who will benefit</u> from the findings What are some of the goals of environmental sociologists?"

Using science to identify and understand environmental impacts affecting people

Being a reflexive and observant participant where environmental injustice occurs

To discover contamination sites often found in or near neighborhoods What would you guess is meant by "critical Realism?"

Social views (and socialization) shape one's perception of the biophysical (or could we say "real") world.

If the climate change, reported to occur, doesn't appear to be affecting "Jim", maybe Jim decides it mustn't be a serious or "real" issue.

7

9

Another example applying critical realism: How much is too much chemical contamination or global warming, etc?

Stuart (and Hannigan) believe the answer is a social one determined by <u>power</u>, <u>economics</u>, and <u>values</u>.

How might one's values affect one's views of global warming? Which values are likely to come into play that is, have an effect?

8

10

8

Individualism? Capitalism? Materialism?

The findings reported by many climate scientists have been disputed and attacked by fossil fuel companies, others making money from fossil fuels, those dependent on fossil fuels for jobs, and others.

7

Those who benefit from fossil fuels deny the findings of the "overzealous" climate scientists declaring the findings unclear

(and besides the scientists are just trying to get an article published, right? so the skeptics say).

10

What is public sociology? (In the past, referred to as "applied sociology.")

Sociologists conducting research with the specific purpose of helping policy makers to make informed decisions based on facts. What is the difference between the terms climate "change" and climate "crisis"?

How are the terms related to the social construction of ideas?

11

16

18

What is environmental "injustice" (which is better known as environmental justice)? Can you think of an example?

Negative environmental impacts that are disproportionately distributed to a particular group of people, such as those with low-income or a minority group.

Placing an asphalt plant near a lowincome neighborhood.

Why does environmental injustice exist? What contributes to it?

What is environmental <u>racism</u>?

When environmental <u>impacts</u> are overlooked, accepted, or justified based on race.

Can you think of an example?

15

16

15

17

Why are the impacts of global warming more harmful to lower-income people and people in the Global south? Is this environmental injustice?

The infrastructure for these groups is not as safe, e.g., buildings are not built as well and so offer less resistance to hurricanes, tornadoes, etc..

Low-income persons lack the funds to repair damage to their ecosystems which might affect their livelihood such as farming or getting to a job.

17

"Path of least resistance" --What does this mean?

Racism (e.g., housing)

Economics

14

13

The state of Michigan forced the residents of Flint Michigan to switch their water source to the Flint River (which was extremely polluted) in order to "help" the City of Flint avoid bankruptcy (save \$5 million/yr). Flint was predominantly a Black, low-income suburb of Detroit.

No attention was provided to California migrant workers who were experiencing "pesticide drift" and the health problems resulting.

> John Hannigan Environmental Sociology

> > Chapter 3:

Social Construction of Environmental Issues

22

24

How to fix the environmental problem:

In order to successfully "undo" the environmental crisis, we need to understand how it can and cannot be considered a crisis by the general population.

We have discussed this to some extent but now will look more closely at how people's opinions get created

19

Hannigan's general arguments seem to rely on the belief that:

If the general public overwhelmingly believed there is an environmental problem that seriously threatens their safety, then they would support major efforts to fix the environmental problems.

Is it reasonable to make this assumption?

21

23

- The <u>claims themselves</u>: complaints about social conditions that are offensive
- The <u>claims-makers</u>: those who construct the claims
- The claims-making process: is it legitimate? what is being addressed, are there other competing ideologies, what style is being used

Hannigan notes that: "The environmental concern is not constant but fluctuating."

Why is this?

It varies based on the success of various "claims makers" ranging from scientists to industrialists, journalists, and environmental advocates

In other words, social constructionists shape the importance of the concern.

20

19

Assuming the answer is yes, Hannigan believes there are three major focuses that must be considered on the road to convincing the public there is a growing serious problem.

Any guesses what these are (what must people be focused on in order to believe there is a problem)?

22

Hannigan provides three stages or steps to follow in the process to convince the public of a claim and, in this case, "fix" the environmental problems.

Given what you know so far, what is the first thing that must be done in order to convince the public of a problem?

- 1. <u>Assembling</u> the environmental data and clarifying the claim
- 2. <u>Presenting</u> the information to the public
- 3. <u>Contesting</u> or spurring debates to gain the attention of the public and make them aware of the environmental problem

Who should be involved in providing the environmental data that will be presented as evidence of the problem?

<u>Scientists</u>: geographers, climatologists, biologists

Less formally educated people who discover an environmental issue of concern (e.g., fishermen who noticed that as the acidity of the water went up, more fish were found dead—they reported this to scientists)

27

2. Presenting the environmental

data to the public

What must be done to get the public to <u>pay attention</u> to and <u>understand</u> the information/claims being presented?

30

1. <u>Assembling</u> the environmental data and clarifying the claim

What needs to be done to make this happen (e.g., trying to convince people of the seriousness of the Pacific ocean garbage patch)?

- <u>Collecting</u> the environmental data that is the basis for the claim and demonstrates it
- <u>Naming</u> the problem/claim
- Clarifying where the data have come from, who collected it, and what their interests are in order to gain credibility 28

26

25

27

29

What problems might be found with the environmental data that are assembled?

- Conflicting scientific evidence (including cases where the same scientist collected multiple data and the data coflicted)
- Lack of clarity
- ambiguity

28

- 1. Present data in an <u>understandable way</u> using visual (photos) as well as verbal
- Legitimize the data for the public, media, government, science (e.g., show why sources are non-biased)
- 3. Present information to the <u>media</u>
- 4. recruit effective <u>claims-</u> <u>makers</u> to present the data

34

36

Who tends to be the claims-makers?

Scientists, political figures, media, public officials (e.g., a city's director of sustainability), educators, representatives of organizations

What organizations might claimsmakers represent?

Examples: scientific associations, other professional organizations, interest groups, public agencies, environmental groups

31

Lack of public's understanding

- Attacks from dissenters including attempts to falsify the data presented, question the claims-makers truthfulness
- Low visibility/attention from public
- Declining novelty

33

How diverse should the claims-makers be (e.g., should they all represent the same group or organization)?

A single claim, such as global warming, may have claimsmakers from a variety of organizations ranging from non-profits to the EPA

What can go wrong during this "presentation" stage?

32

31

33

35

 <u>Contesting</u> the environmental issues, i.e., seeking to draw attention to the issues that have been presented

Once we have developed the claim and we have presented the data, we need to find a way of <u>getting the public to pay</u> <u>attention</u> to the data we have presented.

In other words, once we have presented the data in places like the media, <u>what</u> <u>can we do</u> to get people to start discussing the issues we have presented?

- Attempt to <u>command</u> the public's attention; perhaps use <u>dramatic</u> <u>events</u> (e.g., wreak of oil tankers) or polemical books (e.g., "The End of Nature")
- Emphasize the advantages of solving the environmental issues including profitability (e.g., businesses are attracted to clean/sustainable cities so cities should give this there attention)
- 3. Highlight <u>moral</u> importance

- 4. Use the data to demonstrate the <u>seriousness</u> & <u>importance</u> of the <u>environmental</u> problem
- Attempt to stimulate media's coverage of problems (maybe even pay to have info displayed).
- 6. Defend the data being presented
- 7. Networking, soliciting the support of others, organizations

40

42

8. Use language designed to have a persuasive or impressive effect on its audience (Hannigan refers to this as rhetoric)

What can be done to move from discussing to persuading someone (there are at least three ways)?

A. To persuade: Present data that supports the claim

How can data be presented in a persuasive way?

- 1. Definition of the issue
- 2. Examples people identify with
- 3. Numeric estimates of the
- magnitude of the claim (i.e., facts)
- 4. Understandable and clear

37

B. To persuade: Show why the claim

What might be done or said to achieve this?

- 1. Describe as emergency (ticking time bomb; will affect many)
- 2. Link to injustice and innocent victims (a moral issue)
- is getting progressively worse

39

warrants immediate action

- 3. Link to the past—show how problem

appropriate claims maker "style." Any idea what this might mean?

C. To persuade: Apply an

- Express the claim in sync with an intended audience (e.g., scientific style, legalistic style, subculture style)
- Link claims to popular issues and causes (e.g., biodiversity)
- Use dramatic verbal and visual imagery

40

38

37

39

41

(ways of contesting)

9. Present draft legislation to policy-makers and encourage them to submit the legislation for approval that will subsequently become law

When preparing draft legislation for the policymakers, what would you consider?

The legislation you are proposing is technically feasible and based on verifiable facts and measurable

Provide estimated <u>financial</u> impacts of the proposal. What will it cost and what are the financial benefits?

- Clarify the <u>moral justification</u> but don't get overzealous so that the legislation would alienate some of the policy-makers constituents
- If possible, present the proposed legislation immediately after an attention-getting event (e.g., oil spill); i.e., timing is important
- Draft legislation is written clearly

What are potential problems when presenting and contesting environmental data?

- Countervailing claims
- Issue fatigue of public
- Cooptation (claims-makers bought out by the other side)

44

43

43







Nuclear micro reactors to hit the market https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4z8btElDwBs Bill Gates on How we're doing on the path to zero emissions, 2022 (3 min) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ipkPcrNsCv8

Out of Thin Air (Start at 26:30) (13 mins) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ZejZxjvFng



Innovating to zero! | Bill Gates, 2010 (18:00/29:32) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fq2Zn7I

The Future Of Nuclear Power - Holtec SMR 160 (8 min) https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=The+Futu re+Of+Nuclear+Power+-+Holtec+SMR+160

Bill Gates' Terrapower and the Natrium Reactor | Rock Logic | 2022 (start at 1 min - 6 mins) https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-1e&q=rocklogic+and+terraf#fpstate=ive&vld=cid:d6a53627.vi d: 3mZIPO60zw

Nuclear micro reactors to hit the market https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4z8btElDwBs

Bill Gates on How we're doing on the path to zero emissions, 2022 (3 min) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ipkPcrNsCv8

46



48

The Future Of Nuclear Power - Holtec SMR 160 (8 min) https://www.youtube.com/results?search_guery=The+Futu re+Of+Nuclear+Power+-+Holtec+SMR+160

Nuclear micro reactors to hit the market (2:40) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4z8btElDwBs

The tiny reactors carry some of the same challenges as large-scale nuclear, such as how to dispose of radioactive waste and how to make sure they are secure. Supporters say those issues can be managed and the benefits outweigh any risks.



What Is the Most Sustainable City in the World2(2020: 7:48) https://www.youtube.com/watch2v=fsWr0LfM_uQ

5 Most Polluted Cities on Earth (start at 5:00; goes until 11:05—6 minutes total) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=69jwIw4JeVk

49



51

Why most Americans support the EPA (4:07) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G2Nmhcsxf2k Sackett v. EPA: How One Couple's Battle Against the Feds Might Protect Your Land (7:38)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40iHXAOjJ3U

The Reasoning and Impact of the Supreme Court's Ruling in Sackett v. EPA (first 3 minutes)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UMOSEoUy1pI

Supreme Court decision weakens EPA authority, scales back scope of Clean Water Act <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f-ZBkxeCvE0</u>

50

"Path of least resistance" --What does this mean?

Racism (e.g., housing)

Economics

52

"Risk" is an important concept in environmental sociology. Risk is viewed as being socially constructed.

How would you define "risk" as it is related to the environment? What risks are we taking?

Why is the idea of "risk" important to environmental sociologists?

"Risk" has been defined as: a situation involving exposure to danger.

People define (socially construct) what is considered high risk. Stuart portrays "risk" as being as much an <u>emotional response</u> as a scientific finding.

54

53

9

52

58

Do you agree that risk is socially constructed? If you think so, how can this be and by whom?

Businesses and interest groups interpret scientific findings and the subsequent risks differently.

Not surprisingly, interpretations have been found to favor the organization doing the interpreting.

Social, political, and economic forces define the level of risk, i.e., how "risky" something is.

55

56

55

57

What factors cause a person to feel s/he is at risk (i.e., in danger)?

- Factors that <u>we know little about</u> and are <u>difficult to observe</u> are considered more risky
- Activities that are perceived to <u>happen at any time</u> as opposed to far in the future or over a very long time period are considered more risky
- Factors that we have <u>little control</u> over are considered more risky
- Factors that point to danger

57

Stuart summarizes Hannigan's steps that must be accomplished for the public to define environmental conditions as highly risky such as a climate "crisis".

Stuart, and also Ulrich Beck who

wrote Risk Society, argue that with

the advent of emerging new

technologies, society is taking more risks than ever.

Do you agree?

Can you think of an example?

Toxic chemicals found in everyday

products (e.g., shampoos, cleaning

supplies, electronics) are often not allowed in Europe but are in the U.S.

These may be causing birth defects,

hormonal disruption, neurological

damage, etc.

What steps are these (We've covered these in Hannigan's book)?

58

- 1. <u>Assembling</u> the scientific evidence
- 2. Making the evidence <u>understandable</u>
- 3. <u>Presenting</u> or "framing" evidence to/for the public in an understandable way via media, public figures, etc.
- "<u>Contesting</u>" or having public debates that gains the public's attention